## 2024 CODE SELF REVIEW REPORT AND COMPLAINTS SUMMARY

# Education (Pastoral Care of Tertiary and International Learners) Code of Practice 2021 (the Code)

# **Organisation Details**

| TEO name (legal) | Shribrown Limited t/a Ashton Warner Nanny Academy |
|------------------|---|
| MoE number       | 8609  |

## **Self-review of implementation of the Code**

| Outcomes  | Rating  |
|---|---|
| Outcome 1: A learner wellbeing and safety system  | Developing<br>Implementation                      |
| Outcome 2:<br>Learner voice   | Developing<br>Implementation                      |
| Outcome 3: Safe, inclusive, supportive, and accessible physical and digital learning environments                                 | Developing<br>Implementation                      |
| Outcome 4:<br>Learners are safe and well  | Developing<br>Implementation                      |
| Outcomes 5 to 7: Additional wellbeing and safety practices in tertiary student accommodation                                      | Not Applicable No Accommodation Services Provided |
| Outcomes 8 to 12: Additional wellbeing and safety practices for tertiary providers (signatories) enrolling international learners | Not Applicable No International Learners          |
|   |   |
| Overall implementation  | Developing implementation                         |

(\*see Appendix 1 for what the ratings mean.)

#### 2024 CODE SELF REVIEW REPORT AND COMPLAINTS SUMMARY

## **Complaints Summary (2023)**

| Number of Complaints Received | 1 (1 individual (No Group Complaints) |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
|                               |                                       |  |  |  |
| Nature of Complaints          |                                       |  |  |  |
| People Related                | 1                                     |  |  |  |
| Process Related               | 0                                     |  |  |  |
|                               |                                       |  |  |  |
| Outcomes                      |                                       |  |  |  |
| Complaints Resolved           | 1                                     |  |  |  |
| Complaints Not Upheld         | 0                                     |  |  |  |
|                               |                                       |  |  |  |
| Complainant Diversity*        |                                       |  |  |  |
| Unknown or Group              | None                                  |  |  |  |
| European                      | -                                     |  |  |  |
| Māori                         | -                                     |  |  |  |
| Pasifika                      | -                                     |  |  |  |
| Indian                        | -                                     |  |  |  |
| Asian                         | -                                     |  |  |  |
| Others                        | -                                     |  |  |  |
|                               |                                       |  |  |  |
| Complainant Experience        |                                       |  |  |  |
| Satisfactory Resolution       | 1                                     |  |  |  |
| Unknown                       | 0                                     |  |  |  |

<sup>\*</sup>Low volume - exact data withheld to protect privacy.

## **Critical Incidents (2023)**

We define critical incident as a sudden and unexpected event that involves a perception of a threat to life, loss of life, severe trauma, events that could have significant impact on wellbeing of an individual or group of individuals and can include elements of physical and emotional loss.

There are no critical incidents to report for 2023.

## 2024 CODE SELF REVIEW REPORT AND COMPLAINTS SUMMARY

## **Appendix 1: Code Implementation Ratings**

|   |   | Well-implemented The Code is well-implemented   |
|---|---|---|
| Early stages of implementation Implementation of the Code is underway, yet requires further work  • No or limited understanding of Code outcomes and requirements across the organisation • No or limited perspectives sought. Practices to reflect learner voice nonexistent or underway • No or limited consideration of Te Tiriti o Waitangi/Treaty of Waitangi and the Treaty principles (including partnership, protection, and participation) when implementing the Code. • No or limited practices in place to monitor against all Code outcomes and requirements • No or limited reporting processes from self-review | Implemented The Code is implemented  • Sufficient understanding of Code outcomes and requirements across the organisation • Multiple perspectives sought, including sound practices, to reflect student voice • Good consideration of Te Tiriti o Waitangi/Treaty of Waitangi and the Treaty principles (including partnership, protection, and participation) when implementing the Code. • Relevant practices in place to monitor against all Code outcomes and requirements • Effective reporting processes from self-review | <ul> <li>Thorough understanding of Code outcomes and requirements across the organisation</li> <li>Diverse range of multiple perspectives sought, including robust practices, to reflect learner voice</li> <li>Full consideration of Te Tiriti o Waitangi/Treaty of Waitangi and the Treaty principles (including partnership, protection, and participation) when implementing the Code.</li> <li>Well-established practices in place to monitor against all Code outcomes and requirements</li> <li>Highly effective reporting processes from self-review</li> </ul> |

(\* Extracted from NZQA Code Self Review Toolkit published at <a href="https://www2.nzqa.govt.nz/tertiary/the-code/the-code-for-education-providers/self-review-and-attestation/self-review-tools/#selfreviewtooltertiary">https://www2.nzqa.govt.nz/tertiary/the-code/the-code-for-education-providers/self-review-and-attestation/self-review-tools/#selfreviewtooltertiary</a>)